UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,828	07/12/2005	Ganga Raju Gokaraju	DAD-0013	4266
	7590 09/10/200 IAN & GRAUER PLL	EXAMINER		
LION BUILDING			VALENROD, YEVGENY	
1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501 WASHINGTON, DC 20036		<i>)</i> 1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/541,828	GOKARAJU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	YEVEGENY VALENROD	1621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 Ju</u>	ne 2009					
	action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-11,17 and 18</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5, 12-16 and 19-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application				

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/29/09 has been entered.

Amendment to the claims filed 6/29/09 is acknowledged.

Declaration by Dr. S. Venkateswarlu and remarks filed 6/29/09 have been fully considered.

Rejection of claims 1-5, 12-16 and 19 under 35 USC 103(a) is withdrawn in view of applicants' remarks and the declaration by Dr. S. Venkateswarlu. Examiner agrees with the applicant in that displacement of Mg by Zinc is highly unlikely and in order to facilitate such displacement one would require motivation in selecting specific parameters. Such motivation is lacking.

Rejection of claims 1, 2 and 19 under 35 USC 102(b) is maintained.

Text of the maintained rejection is repeated below followed by reply to applicants' remarks.

New Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-5, 12-16 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims comprise the term "double metal salt". It is unclear if the said term requires two metals being present, two hydroxycitric acids moieties being present and/or two different metals being present in the claimed salt. Examiner was unable to find a clear definition of the said term in the applicants specification. For the purposes of this office action the term will be given the broadest interpretation which includes any of the above listed possible meanings.

Maintained Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shrivastava et al. (US 6,221,901). Shrivastava et al describe preparing Magnesium (-)hydroxycitrate. Although Shrivastava et al do not explicitly describe the compound of general formula (I), said compound is inherently present in the solution. The inherency argument is supported by the fact that all the ingredients required to make the claimed double salt are present in the solution. Since magnesium is capable of making a salt with two anionic components, and hydroxycitric acid has 3 carboxylate groups, it stands to reason that one of the magnesium ions that forms a salt with the 3rd carboxylate

Art Unit: 1621

groups will have to find another molecule of hydroxycitric acid in order to acquire the appropriate valency.

Claim 19 recites a limitation directed to the intended use of the double metal salt of claim1. It is well settled that the intended use of a composition or product (e.g. as a cosmetic composition) will not further limit claims drawn to a composition or product, so long as the prior art discloses the same composition comprising the same ingredients in an effective amount as instantly claimed. See, e.g., *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d, 1647.

Reply to applicants' remarks

Examiner agrees with applicants' argument directed to lack of support in Shrivastava concerning formation of double HCA salts with two different metals acting as counterions to the carboxylate groups. However due to the indefinite nature of the term "double metal salt" Examiner interprets the said term as meaning two of HCA moieties are part of the same salt complex and the said complex does not require two different metals being present. The above interpretation of the term "double metal salt" is met by Shrivastava et al. when Magnesium HCA salt is described in column 3, line 40. In order to overcome the above rejection Examiner recommends adding the limitation of claim 20 to the independent claim 1 and canceling claim 20 and also amending the "double metal salt" to read double salt.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 1621

Claims 1-20 are pending.

Claims 1-5, 12-16 and 19-20 are rejected.

Claims 6-11, 17 and 18 are withdrawn.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yevgeny Valenrod whose telephone number is 571-272-9049. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Sullivan can be reached on 571-272-0779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Yevgeny Valenrod/

Yevgeny Valenrod
Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1600

Art Unit: 1621

/Paul A. Zucker/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621